L12 - Frame-Relay

Frame Relay

Principles, Standards, LAPF Traffic Control Aspects, LMI, CLLM, Q.933 (SVC)

Agenda

2005, D.I. Manfred Lindner

- Overview and Principles
- Standards
- Frame Relay User Plane
- Traffic Management
- Local Management Interface
- Switched Virtual Circuits

L12 - Frame-Relay

What is Frame Relay?

- packet switching technology
 - based on store-and-forward of packets
 - connection oriented
- interface definition between user and network equipment
 - FR-DTE (e.g. router) <-> FR-DCE (frame relay switch)
 - UNI (User to Network Interface)
- wide area network service
 - based on virtual circuit technique
- operation within FR network cloud
 - switch to switch communication not standardized

Frame-Relay

- vendor specific implementation

© 2005, D.I. Manfred Lindner



© 2005, D.I. Manfred Lindner

© 2005, D.I. Manfred Lindner

Page 12 - 1

Frame-Rel

L12 - Frame-Relay

Frame Relay Virtual Circuits

• virtual circuit technique used

2005, D.I. Manfred Lindner

- for statistically multiplexing many logical data conversations over a single physical transmission link
- end systems (FR-DTE) use virtual circuits for delivering data to the FR network and vice versa
- virtual circuits appear to end systems as transparent transport pipes (logical point-topoint connections)

Frame-Relay, v4.3



© 2005, D.I. Manfred Lindner

Institute of Computer Technology - Vienna University of Technology

L12 - Frame-Relay

Frame Relay DLCI

- virtual circuits (VCs) are identified using DLCI numbers
 - data link connection identifier (DLCI), locally significant
- some implementations support global addressing
 - still locally significant

© 2005, D.I. Manfred Lindner

- but number of user ports limited
- two kinds of virtual circuits
 - permanent virtual circuits (PVC) established in advance by service provider
 - switched virtual circuits (SVC) established on demand by user through signaling procedure

Frame-Relay, v4



© 2005, D.I. Manfred Lindner

Page 12 - 3

L12 - Frame-Relay



Frame Relay Interconnections

- sometimes it is necessary to connect frame relay networks together
 - e.g. private frame relay network of a company to a public frame relay service
 - communication between frame relay switches (FR-DCE to FR-DCE) must be implemented
- this requirement is handled by an additional interface specification:
 - NNI (Network to Network Interface)
- communication between FR-DTEs connected to different frame relay networks
 - uses sequence of VC's (multinetwork VC)

2005, D.I. Manfred Lindner

Frame-Relay, v4.3

Institute of Computer Technology - Vienna University of Technology

L12 - Frame-Relay





© 2005, D.I. Manfred Lindner

© 2005, D.I. Manfred Lindner

Page 12 - 5

L12 - Frame-Relav



L12 - Frame-Relav



Why Frame Relay?

- statistical multiplexing fits better to bursty LAN to LAN communication than dedicated leased line
- high speed of access line and trunk lines within the network tries to guarantee a low transit delay
 - but cost of frame relay service will be less than cost of leased line with same access bit rate
- frame relay packet switching is faster than X.25 packet switching, migration to higher speed is possible
 - X.25 up to 2 Mbit/s
 - Frame Relay up to 45 Mbit/s with same technology Frame-Relay v4

2005 D L Manfred Lindner

Delay Aspects for 1000 Bytes FR with internal high speed backbone, 2 Mbit/s access lines and CIR =64kbit/s 11 11 2 Mbit/s 155 Mbit/s 10 Mbit/s 2 Mhit/s 10 Mbit/s 0.8 ms 4 ms 0.052ms 4 ms 0.8 ms leased line 64k <u>1 1</u> 11 64 kbit/s 10 Mbit/s 10 Mbit/s 0.8 ms 125 ms 0.8 ms

When Frame Relay?

© 2005 D L Manfred Lindo

© 2005 D L Manfred Lindner

 if communication behavior between end systems can be mapped to frame relay service parameters (CIR, Bc, Be)

Frame-Relay

- if communication is bursty (typically LAN-LAN)
- if low delay is necessary (interactive applications)
- if a single physical link should be used reaching many different locations
 - alternative: many point-to-point leased lines (cost !!!)
- if physical lines (trunks and access!!!) have very low bit error rate

Frame-Rel

© 2005. D.I. Manfred Lindner

© 2005. D.I. Manfred Lindner

L12 - Frame-Relay

Roots of Frame Relay

- originally defined by ITU-T as a network service within ISDN
 - I.122 specifies the framework for additional packet mode bearer services, one of these services is frame relay
 - initial requirement was for ISDN primary rate access
- frame relay is based upon ISDN data link layer procedures for the D channel
 - D channel is used for ISDN signaling and ISDN data transfer in packet switching mode
 - I.440/I.441 ISDN user-network interface data link layer
 - Q.920/Q.921 link access procedures on the D channel (LAPD)

Frame-Relay, v4.3

Agenda

2005, D.I. Manfred Lindner

2005. D.I. Manfred Lindner

- Topology and Principles
- Standards
- Frame Relay User Plane
- Traffic Management
- Local Management Interface
- Switched Virtual Circuits

L12 - Frame-Relay

Frame Relay Standardization

- ITU-T (former CCITT)
 - frame relay as packet transfer mode within ISDN
- ANSI

© 2005, D.I. Manfred Lindner

- US standardization for ISDN
- Frame Relay Forum (FRF)
- founded by Gang of Four (GOF; Cisco, Dec, Northern Telecom, Stratacom)
- goal of GOF: promotion of acceptance and implementation of frame relay based on international standards

Frame-Relay, v4

- FRF implementations agreements



© 2005, D.I. Manfred Lindner

Frame-Re

Page 12 - 9

© 2005, D.I. Manfred Lindner

L12 - Frame-Relay





© 2005, D.I. Manfred Lindner

Institute of Computer Technology - Vienna University of Technology

L12 - Frame-Relay

ITU-T Frame Relay Standards ITU-T architectural model defines control plane and user plane control plane is used for establishing SVCs or maintaining PVCs user plane provide transparent transport pipe after SVC or PVC is established I.233 - frame mode bearer services describes bi-directional transfer of LAPF frames from one ISDN S or T reference point to another (I.430/I.413 used as physical layer)



© 2005, D.I. Manfred Lindner

Page 12 - 11

L12 - Frame-Relay



ITU-T Frame Relay Standards

- <u>1.370</u> congestion management for the ISDN frame relaying bearer service
- <u>1.372</u> frame mode bearer service network to network interface requirements
- 1.555 frame mode bearer service interworking

Frame-Relay

 guidelines for interworking between frame relaying, X.25, circuit switching and broadband ISDN as well as interconnection of LANs

© 2005, D.I. Manfred Lindner

1

Institute of Computer Technology - Vienna University of Technology

L12 - Frame-Relay





© 2005, D.I. Manfred Lindner

Page 12 - 13

© 2005, D.I. Manfred Lindner

L12 - Frame-Relay

ANSI Frame Relay Standards

2005, D.I. Manfred Lindner

- <u>T1.602</u> telecommunications ISDN data link layer signaling specifications for applications at the user network interface
- <u>T1.606</u> frame relaying bearer services (architectural framework and service description)
- <u>T1.617</u> signaling specification for frame relaying bearer service

Frame-Relay, v4.3

• <u>T1.618</u> core aspects of frame protocol for use with frame relay bearer service

ITU-T versus ANSI Standards							
<u>ITU-T</u>	ANSI						
I.233	<-> T1.606						
Q.922	<-> T1.602						
Q.922 Annex A	<-> T1.618						
Q.933	<-> T1.617						
Q.933 Annex A	<-> T1.617 Annex D						
Q.370	<-> T1.606a						

Institute of Computer Technology - Vienna University of Technology

L12 - Frame-Relay

FRF Implementations Agreements• FRF Implementations Agreements• FRF.Interface Relay Forum• FRF.1.1 User to Network Interface (UNI)• FRF.2.1 Network to Network Interface (NNI)• FRF.3.1 Multiprotocol Encapsulation• FRF.4 SVC• FRF.5 FR/ATM Network Interworking• FRF.6 Customer Network Management (MIB)• FRF.7 Multicasting Service Description• FRF.8 FR/ATM Service Interworking

Frame-Relay, v4.3

FRF Implementations Agreements • FRF.9 Data Compression • FRF.10 Network to Network SVC • FRF.11 Voice over Frame Relay • FRF.12 Fragmentation • FRF.13 Service Level Agreements • FRR.14 Physical Layer Interface • FRF.15 End-to-End Multilink • FRF.16 Multilink UNI / NNI

© 2005, D.I. Manfred Lindner Page 12 - 16

© 2005. D.I. Manfred Lindner

L12 - Frame-Relay

Agenda

- Overview and Principles
- Standards

2005. D.I. Manfred Lindner

- Frame Relay User Plane
- Traffic Management
- Local Management Interface
- Switched Virtual Circuits

Frame Relay Layer 1

• physical layer (1) options defined in FRF.1:

Frame-Relay, v4.3

- ANSI T1.403 (DS1, 1.544 Mbps)
- ITU-T V.35
- ITU-T G.703 (2.048 Mbps)
- ITU-T G.704 (E1, 2.048 Mbps)
- ITU-T X.21
- ANSI/EIA/TIA 613 A 1993 High Speed Serial Interface (HSSI, 53 Mbps)
- ANSI T1.107a (DS3, 44.736 Mbps)
- ITU G.703 (E3, 34.368 Mbps)
- ITU V.36/V.37 congestion control

• other specifications in original ISDN standards

Frame-Relay, v4.3

2005, D.I. Manfred Lindner

© 2005, D.I. Manfred Lindner

Page 12 - 17

Institute of Computer Technology - Vienna University of Technology

L12 - Frame-Relay

Frame Relay Layer 2

data link layer (2) defined in Q.922 Annex A (LAPF) or T1.618 specifies

- frame alignment and delimiting (HDLC Flag)
- zero bit insertion and extraction (bit stuffing)
- error detection but no correction
- verification that frame fulfill minimum size, maximum agreed size, integral number of octets
- frame multiplexing/demultiplexing using an address field (DLCI number)
- congestion control

© 2005, D.I. Manfred Lindner

• all remaining upper layers are transparent to the frame relay user plane

Frame-Relay, v4.3

Frame Format (Q.922 Annex A, T1.618) 1 Byte 2 Bytes variable length 2 Bytes 1 Byte FCS Flag Flag Header Information DLCI (MSB) C/R EA DLCI (LSB) FECN BECN DE EA 876543 2 1 8765 4 2 DLCI Data Link Connection Identifier FECN Forward Explicit Congestion Notification BECN Backward Explicit Congestion Notification C/R Command/Response Bit EA Address Extension Bits DE Discard Eligibility © 2005, D.I. Manfred Lindner Frame-Re

© 2005, D.I. Manfred Lindner

L12 - Frame-Relay



• DLCI address

- uniquely identifies a virtual circuit through the network to a specific destination
- in most cases 10 bit length (value range 0 1023)
- could be expanded with additional octets using EA bits

Frame-Relay, v4.3

- FRF, GOF specifies only two octets DLCI address

• DLCI reserved values (10 bit DLCI)

- for signaling, management and future aspects
 0 -15
 - 992 1023 (ANSI, ITU-T, FRF)

• 1008 - 1023 (GOF)

Frame Format

2005, D.I. Manfred Lindner

• EA Extended Address indicator

- first and middle DLCI address octets are indicated by EA=0
- last address octet is indicated by EA=1
- second address octet always contains FECN, BECN, DE
- C/R (Command/Response) generally not used
 - passed transparently across the frame relay network

Information field

- number of octets can be between 1 8192
- FRF defines 1600 bytes as default maximum size
- note: FCS with CRC-16 supports frame lengths up to 4096 bytes only

2005, D.I. Manfred Lindner

Frame-Relay, v4.

Institute of Computer Technology - Vienna University of Technology

L12 - Frame-Relay

Frame Format

© 2005. D.I. Manfred Lindner

• Forward Explicit Congestion Notification (FECN)

 may be set by a frame relay network to notify the user that congestion was experienced for traffic in the direction of the frame carrying FECN indication

Backward Explicit Congestion Notification (BECN)

 may be set by a frame relay network to notify the user that congestion was experienced by traffic in the opposite direction of the frame carrying BECN indication

Frame-Relay, v4.3

FECN/BECN

© 2005, D.I. Manfred Lindner

Page 12 - 19

© 2005, D.I. Manfred Lindner

L12 - Frame-Relay

Frame Format

• DE Discard Eligibility

- only relevant in congestion situations
- may be set by the user or the network to indicate that this frame should be discarded in preference to other frames (without the DE bit set) in case of congestion

• possible DE use

- user has a traffic contract with service provider
- user can signal, if frames are within traffic contract (DE=0) or not (DE=1)
- user can map time critical traffic to DE=0, best-effort traffic to DE=1
- network can mark frames which are outside the contract but which are still tried to deliver

Frame-Relay, v4.3

2005, D.I. Manfred Lindner

FR Data Link Layer Service

- LAPF/T1.618 does not provide any error recovery or flow control procedures
- although frame relay is connection oriented – only a best effort service is offered to end systems
- only delivering frames in sequence is offered to end systems
 - basic transport service
- principle rule:
 - a frame relay network tries to guarantee delivering frames which are inside the traffic contract with high probability

© 2005, D.I. Manfred Lindner

L12 - Frame-Relay

FR Data Link Layer Service

- if a frame error is detected by a frame relay switch
 - the corresponding frame will be discarded
- if a congestion situation is experienced by a frame relay switch (buffer overflow)
 - frames will be discarded
- error recovery must be done by end systems
 - end systems must detect missing frames using their own higher layer sequence numbers
- discarded frames must be retransmitted by end systems

Frame-Relay v4

Agenda

© 2005, D.I. Manfred Lindner

- Overview and Principles
- Standards

© 2005, D.I. Manfred Lindner

- Frame Relay User Plane
- Traffic Management
- Local Management Interface
- Switched Virtual Circuits

© 2005, D.I. Manfred Lindner

Frame-Relay

© 2005, D.I. Manfred Lindner

L12 - Frame-Relay

Frame Relay Facts

- frame relay eliminates several functions of the OSI data link layer
 - one of the functions of this layer is flow control
 - but network still must deal with congestion
- in theory users can forward as much data into the network as physical access speed allows
- without any kind of traffic control
 - the network could be flooded if all users forwarding data simultaneously
 - congestion would cause frames to be discarded
 - discarded frames will cause retransmission by end systems and maybe increase congestion

Frame-Relay, v4.3

Frame Relay Facts

• remember

2005, D.I. Manfred Lindner

2005, D.I. Manfred Lindner

- frame relay depends on statistical multiplexing
- service provider offering a less expensive service (compared to leased lines) rely that not all users need the access line capacity (or a fraction of this capacity) all the time
- prerequisite for any kind of traffic control is a traffic contract between user and network provider

© 2005, D.I. Manfred Lindner

Frame-Relay, v4.3

Page 12 - 23

L12 - Frame-Relay

Traffic Contract Parameters

Access Rate (AR)

© 2005. D.I. Manfred Lindner

© 2005, D.I. Manfred Lindner

- physical data rate of the user access link (in bit/s)
- Measurement Interval (Tc)
 - time interval over which rates and burst sizes are measured

• Committed Burst Size (Bc)

 maximum amount of bits that a network agrees to transfer under normal operating conditions over time Tc

Frame-Relay, v4.3

Traffic Contract Parameters

• Committed Information Rate (CIR)

 maximum rate in bit/s at which network transfers data under normal conditions (CIR = Bc/Tc; Tc = 1 sec)

• Excess Burst Size (Be)

- maximum amount of uncommitted data bits that a network will attempt to deliver over measurement interval Tc
- Excess Information Rate (EIR)
 - maximum rate in bit/s at which network tries to deliver (EIR = Bc+Be/Tc; Tc = 1 sec)

Frame-Relay

© 2005, D.I. Manfred Lindner

L12 - Frame-Relay





© 2005, D.I. Manfred Lindner

Institute of Computer Technology - Vienna University of Technology

L12 - Frame-Relay





© 2005, D.I. Manfred Lindner

Page 12 - 25

L12 - Frame-Relay





Institute of Computer Technology - Vienna University of Technology

L12 - Frame-Relay





© 2005, D.I. Manfred Lindner

Page 12 - 27

L12 - Frame-Relay

Traffic Management Options

- differentiate between important and optional frames
 - FR-DTE or FR-DCE marks optional frames with DE = 1
 - network may drop optional frames in case of congestion using DE bit
- inform the user about congestion situations
 - using FECN and BECN bits
 - but flow control features are optional
 - switch vendors need not implement them in order to be compatible with the frame relay standard
 - FECN and BECN are indications to end systems only, end system need not take care of them

2005, D.I. Manfred Lindner

Frame-Relay, v4.3

Traffic Shaping / Traffic Policing

• traffic shaping

- end users should limit their traffic

• traffic policing

- network measures the traffic injected by the user
- if traffic is within contract, o.k.
- if traffic exceeds contract, network must react in order to avoid congestion in the network
- implemented for example with techniques like leaky bucket or token bucket

© 2005, D.I. Manfred Lindner

Institute of Computer Technology - Vienna University of Technology

L12 - Frame-Relay

Examples for Traffic Management

• examples for traffic policing

- discard all frames above contract
- mark all frames above contract as candidates for discarding (DE set to 1) but try to deliver these frames if enough resources are available
- other switches will discard frames with DE=1 first in case of congestion
- end systems could mark frames with DE=1 if CIR is exceeded
 - time critical traffic within CIR with DE = 0
 - best effort traffic above CIR with DE = 1
 - if switch would drop randomly critical traffic may be lost

Frame-Relay, v4.3

© 2005, D.I. Manfred Lindner



© 2005, D.I. Manfred Lindner

© 2005, D.I. Manfred Lindner

Page 12 - 29

Frame-Relay

L12 - Frame-Relay





© 2005, D.I. Manfred Lindner

Institute of Computer Technology - Vienna University of Technology

L12 - Frame-Relay





© 2005, D.I. Manfred Lindner

Page 12 - 31

L12 - Frame-Relay

FECN / BECN and Flow Control

- a frame relay switch experiencing congestion may set the FECN and BECN bits
 - BECN is set in frames towards the source
 - FECN is set in frames towards the destination
- a source receiving frames with BECN set should decrease the traffic injected into the network
- a destination receiving frames with FECN set should inform higher layer protocols to introduce a flow control action
 - for example slow down acknowledgement or reduce the window size

Frame-Relay, v4.

FECN, BECN problems

- major problems with congestion handling mechanisms based on FECN, BECN
 - FECN and BECN are carried inside data frames
 with the lack of data frames no congestion indication can be signaled
 - mechanism based on FECN only relies upon flow control done by end systems in higher layers
 - more difficult to implement and in case of high bit rates too slow
 - example for this problem:
 - end system has no data to send in opposite direction and mapping of FECN to higher layer not implemented
 - · hence data frames with BECN never arrive at the other side
 - but this side may be possibly the source of congestion

Frame-Relay, v4.

2005 D I Manfred Lindner

2005. D.I. Manfred Lindner

Institute of Computer Technology - Vienna University of Technology

L12 - Frame-Relay

Consolidated Link Layer Management different approach to solve these problems out-band signaling of congestion independent from data frames therefore ANSI and ITU-T developed and optional signaling mechanism CLLM Consolidated Link Layer Management

Agenda

- Overview and Principles
- Standards

© 2005, D.I. Manfred Lindner

- Frame Relay User Plane
- Traffic Management
- Local Management Interface
- Switched Virtual Circuits

© 2005, D.I. Manfred Lindner

© 2005, D.I. Manfred Lindner

L12 - Frame-Relay

Institute of Computer Technology - Vienna University of Technology

L12 - Frame-Relay



local communication between FR-DTE and FR-DCE on reserved DLCI

Frame-Relay, v4.3

- out-band management

LMI

2005. D.I. Manfred Lindner

• LMI is used

- to provide the end system with status and configuration information about PVC's
 - Addition/Deletion of PVCs
 - PVC Status (Active, Inactive)
 - optional information (bandwidth, flow control (GOF))
- to control the local connection to the switch (keepalive mechanism)
- Link Integrity

• three variants available

- Gang of Four (GOF, Consortium) using DLCI 1023
- ITU-T Q.922 Annex A using DLCI 0
- ANSI T1.617 Annex D using DLCI 0 (FRF)

2005, D.I. Manfred Lindner

Frame-Relay, v4.3



Unidirectional LMI Procedures

asymmetric protocol between user (FR-DTE) and network (FR-DCE)

- FR-DTE polls FR-DCE at regular intervals using Status Enquiry Messages (interval determined by T391 timer)
- FR-DCE answers Status Enquiry Messages with a Status Message and starts a T392 timer
- next Status Enquiry Messages must be received by FR-DCE before T392 timeout reached
- every Status Enquiry Messages triggers a Sequence Number Exchange (keepalive testing)

Frame-R

- every N391th Status Enquiry Message ask additionally for a full PVC status report
- every Status Message contains Sequence Number Exchange and if requested - full PVC status

© 2005, D.I. Manfred Lindner

© 2005, D.I. Manfred Lindner

© 2005, D.I. Manfred Lindner Page 12 - 35

L12 - Frame-Relay





© 2005, D.I. Manfred Lindner

Page 12 - 37

Institute of Computer Technology - Vienna University of Technology

L12 - Frame-Relay





© 2005, D.I. Manfred Lindner

L12 - Frame-Relay





© 2005, D.I. Manfred Lindner

Institute of Computer Technology - Vienna University of Technology

L12 - Frame-Relay





© 2005, D.I. Manfred Lindner

Page 12 - 39

L12 - Frame-Relay



LMI Message 1 2 1 1 1 Variable 2 1 Flag Frame Relay Header Ctrl. Protocol Dis. Call Ref. Message Type Info. Elements (IE) FCS Flag • LMI Header based on Q.931 ISDN Signaling protocol • Control - 0x03 UI • Call Reference • GOF -> DLCI 1023 • 00000000 - used only for SVC • Message Type • Protocol Discriminator • 00111 1011 Status - 0111 1011 Status Update (GOF)	LMI Format									
1 2 1 1 1 Variable 2 1 Flag Frame Relay Header Ctrl. Protocol Dis. Call Ref. Message Type Info. Elements (IE) FCS Flag • LMI Header based on Q.931 ISDN Signaling protocol • Control - 0x03 UI • Frame Relay Header - 0x000000 - used only for SVC • Frotocol Discriminator - 00001000 ANSI/ITU - 0111 1011 Status - 00001001 GOF - 0111 1011 Status Update (GOF)			-		LM	Message	>			
FlagFrame Relay HeaderCtrl.Protocol Dis.Call Ref.Message TypeInfo. Elements (IE)FCSFlag•LMI Header based on Q.931 ISDN Signaling protocol•Control - 0x03 UI•Falg•GOF -> DLCI 1023 - ANSI/ITU -> DLCI 0•Call Reference - 0x03 UI••Control - 0x03 UI•GOF -> DLCI 1023 - 00001000 ANSI/ITU - 00001001 GOF••000111 101 Status - 0111 1011 Status Update (GOF)	1	2	1	1	1	1	Variable	2	1	
 LMI Header based on Q.931 ISDN Signaling protocol Frame Relay Header GOF -> DLCI 1023 ANSI/ITU -> DLCI 0 Protocol Discriminator 00001000 ANSI/ITU 00001001 GOF Control Control 0x03 UI Call Reference 0000000 used only for SVC Message Type 0111 1011 Status Enquiry 0111 1011 Status Update (GOF) 	Flag	Frame Relay Header Ctrl. Protocol Call Message Info. FCS Flag							Flag	
	• Elim Q.9 pro • Fra - (-) • Pro - (LMI Header based on Q.931 ISDN Signaling protocol Frame Relay Header - GOF -> DLCI 1023 - ANSI/ITU -> DLCI 0 Protocol Discriminator - 00001000 ANSI/ITU - 00001000 GOF Control - 0x03 UI Call Reference - 00000000 - used only for SVC Message Type - 0111 101 Status Enquiry - 0111 1011 Status Update (GOF) 								

L12 - Frame-Relay

LMI Information Element							
Report Type IE General Format IE Seneral Format IE							
0 Information Element Identifier Information Element Length • Link Integrity IE							
Contents of Information Element	 IE Identifier = 00011010 (ANSI) = 01010011 (ITU) = 0000011 (GOF) Keepalive procedure 						
	PVC Status IE						
	– IE Identifier = 00000111 (ANSI) = 01010111 (ITU)						
	 difference between ANSI/ITU and GOF !!! 						
© 2005, D.I. Manfred Lindner	Frame-Relay, v4.3 83						



© 2005, D.I. Manfred Lindner

Page 12 - 41

© 2005, D.I. Manfred Lindner

L12 - Frame-Relay



PVC Status ANSI/ITU IE								
Information Element PVC			Р	vc s	statu	s IE		
Status ANSI/ITU	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
– IE Identifier = 00000111	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1
 Length 3 	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
 Content: 	0	0			DLCI	(mst))	
DLCI	1		DLCI	(Isb)	Ì	spare	e
Status-Bits	1		spare	,	N	0	A	0
– Active (A)								
© 2005, D.I. Manfred Lindner Frame-Re	elay, v4.3							

© 2005, D.I. Manfred Lindner

Institute of Computer Technology - Vienna University of Technology

L12 - Frame-Relay



Bi-directional LMI
• unidirectional LMI procedures sufficient between FR-DTE and FR-DCE (UNI)
 unidirectional LMI not sufficient between FR- DCE and FR-DCE (NNI)
 PVC status of a multinetwork VC must be reported in both directions
 therefore symmetrical approach necessary
 bi-directional LMI is defined on NNI and used
 to inform the other frame relay network about the PVC status within the own network
© 2005, D.I. Manfred Lindner Frame-Relay, v4.3 88

© 2005, D.I. Manfred Lindner

Page 12 - 43

L12 - Frame-Relay



L12 - Frame-Relay





© 2005, D.I. Manfred Lindner





© 2005, D.I. Manfred Lindner

Page 12 - 45

L12 - Frame-Relay

L12 - Frame-Relav

LMI Message

Frame-Relay, v4.3

2

Group

Length

Variable

Group

Group Identifier

Group Value Field

- 1 octet parameter - ID

- 1 octet parameter length

- x octets parameter value

- 00001111

. Value Field

2

FCS

1

Flag

1

ID

1

ID

Ctrl.

Format Group

CLLM Format

2

Frame Relay

Header

• Frame Relay Header

- ANSI/ITU -> DLCI 1023

- 0xAF (10101111) XID

• Format Identifier - 10000010 ANSI/ITU

- FECN, BECN, DE don't care

1

Flag

Control

© 2005, D.I. Manfred Lindner

0

© 2005, D.I. Manfred Lindner



- LAPD XID frame with Group Identifiers and Group Values

Frame-Relay, v4.3

2005, D.I. Manfred Lindner

CLLM

2005, D.I. Manfred Lindner

CLLM messages

- are sent by FR-DCE (network) in case of congestion
- inform FR-DTE (user) about a list of DLCIs that are likely to be causing congestion
- inform FR-DTE about cause for congestion
- upon receipt of a CLLM message
 - user should suspend transmission temporarily
- CLLM messages may contain
 - optional list of non-active DLCIs

Frame-Relay, v4.



- Length = 2n
- contents = n times DLCI values (list of congested DLCIs)

© 2005, D.I. Manfred Lindner

Page 12 - 47

© 2005, D.I. Manfred Lindner

Frame-Relay v4

L12 - Frame-Relay

CLLM Caus	CLLM Cause Description						
• cause value	es a la companya de la						
- 00000010	short term network congestion due to excessive traffic						
- 00000011	long term network congestion due to excessive traffic						
- 00000110	short term equipment failure						
- 00000111	long term equipment failure						
- 00001010	short term maintenance action						
- 00001011	long term maintenance action						
- 00010000	short term congestion - unknown cause						
- 00010001	long term congestion - unknown cause						
© 2005, D.I. Manfred Lindner	Frame-Relay, v4.3						

Agenda

2005, D.I. Manfred Lindner

- Overview and Principles
- Standards
- Frame Relay User Plane
- Traffic Management
- Local Management Interface
- Switched Virtual Circuits

L12 - Frame-Relay

Switched Virtual Circuits most configurations today are hub and spoke peer-to-peer connection not required in most such cases usually route peer-to-peer traffic through hub PVCs are adequate for most such instances for temporary peer-to-peer traffic virtual circuits on demand are useful when accounting is based on time of usage SVC frame relay service SVC call control procedures must be implemented SVC support not defined by GOF



© 2005, D.I. Manfred Lindner

Page 12 - 49

© 2005, D.I. Manfred Lindner

L12 - Frame-Relay

L12 - Frame-Relay



SVC Call Control Layer 3

• Signaling protocol ITU-T Q.933, ANSI T1.617

- procedures for establishing, maintaining and clearing call connections (SVC) at the user network interface (UNI)
- same message format as for LMI messages
- additional message types defined for call establishment, call clearing
- additional information elements defined
- end systems (FR-DTEs) identified by unique E.164 or X.121 address
- other relevant standards
 - ANSI T1.607
 - ITU Q.931, Q.951
- FRF.4 implementation agreement

2005, D.I. Manfred Lindner

Frame-Relay, v4.3



Call Control Messages Types								
• call establishment	ohase							
– Setup								
 Call Proceeding 								
 Connect 								
• call clearing phase								
 Disconnect 								
 Release 								
 Release Complete 								
 informational phase 	9							
 Status 								
 Status Enquiry 								
© 2005, D.I. Manfred Lindner	Frame-Relay, v4.3	104						

© 2005, D.I. Manfred Lindner

Page 12 - 51

© 2005, D.I. Manfred Lindner

L12 - Frame-Relay





© 2005, D.I. Manfred Lindner

Institute of Computer Technology - Vienna University of Technology

L12 - Frame-Relay





© 2005, D.I. Manfred Lindner

Page 12 - 53

L12 - Frame-Relay



Manda	Mandatory/Optional IEs 1							
Information Elements	Setup	Call Proc.	Connect	Disconn.	Release	Rel. Cpl.	Status	St. Enq.
Originator	u/n	u / n	u/n	u/n	u/n	u/n	u/n	u/n
Bearer Capab.	m / m							
DLCI	/ m	m / m	m / m					
Link Core Parameter	o / m		m / m					
Calling Number	o / m							
Called Number	m / m							
u	user, n	network, n	n manda	atory, o c	optional			
© 2005, D.I. Manfred Lin	005, D.I. Manfred Lindner Frame-Relay, v4.3 110							

Institute of Computer Technology - Vienna University of Technology

L12 - Frame-Relay

Manda	Mandatory/Optional IEs 2							
Information Elements	Setup	Call Prc.	Connect	Disconn.	Release	Rel. Cpl.	Status	St. Enq.
Originator	u/n	u/n	u/n	u/n	u/n	u/n	u/n	u/n
Lower Layer Comp.	o/o							
User- User	o / o		o/ o					
Connected Number			o / o					
Cause				m / m	m / m	m / m	m / m	
Call State							m / m	
u	u user, n network, m mandatory, o optional							
2005, D.I. Manfred Lindner Frame-Relay, v4.3 111								

Summary 1	
derived from I	SDN packet mode bearer service
 connection or using virtual c 	iented packet switching network channels
 identified by D 	LCI
 simplified pro X.25 	tocol stack to make it faster than
 basic transport 	t service
 – no error recover 	ery
 – congestion ind 	lication instead of flow control
 standards dev 	eloped by ITU-T/ANSI
 implementation 	n agreements by FRF
 proprietary specific 	ecifications by GOF
© 2005, D.I. Manfred Lindner	Frame-Relay, v4.3

© 2005, D.I. Manfred Lindner

L12 - Frame-Relay

Summary 2

- traffic management mechanism based on
 - CIR, Bc, Be (traffic contract)
 - FECN, BECN, DE
 - but traffic management implementation within switches not required by the standard
- Local Management Interface between end system and network
 - three variants on UNI, two variants on NNI, be careful

Frame-Relay, v4.3

- PVC operation primarily used
- SVC operation defined but not widely implemented nowadays

© 2005, D.I. Manfred Lindner

© 2005, D.I. Manfred Lindner